1 2 3 4 5	MARKUN ZUSMAN & COMPTON LLP JEFFREY K. COMPTON, State Bar No. 142 DARIA DUB CARLSON, State Bar No. 150 WILLIAM A. BAIRD, State Bar No. 192673 17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite A380 Pacific Palisades, California 90272 Telephone: (310) 454-5900 Facsimile: (310) 454-5970	2969 1628
6 7 8	LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN ELSTER STEVEN ELSTER, State Bar No. 227545 785/E2 Oak Grove Road, #201 Concord, CA 94518-3617 Telephone: (925) 324-2159 Facsimile: (925) 945-1276	
9 ,	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  James Brady, Sarah Cavanagh & Christo	pher Sulit
11	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
12	LINDA E. SHOSTAK, State Bar No. 64599 JAMES E. BODDY, JR., State Bar No. 6524	4
13	JAMES E. BODDY, JR., State Bar No. 6524 KATHRYN M. DAVIS, State Bar No. 20343 425 Market Street	54
14	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415)268-7000	
15	Facsimile: (415)268-7522	
16	Attorneys for Defendant,  Deloitte & Touche LLP	
17		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
20	TAMEC DD ADW CAD ATLCAVANIA CIT	)
21	JAMES BRADY, SARAH CAVANAGH, and CHRISTOPHER SULIT, individually	CASE NO.: C-08-00177 SI
22	and on behalf of all others similarly	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE DISMISSAL OF
23	situated,	PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER SULIT
24	Plaintiffs, vs.	)
25	DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP, a limited	) )
26	liability partnership; and DOES 1-10,	
27	inclusive,	
28	Defendants	

1	STIPULATION	
2		
3	The parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate to the	
4	dismissal of Plaintiff Christopher Sulit as follows:	
5	WHEREAS, Christopher Sulit was named as a Plaintiff and proposed class	
6	representative in this action; and	
7	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that subject to approval of the Court, Mr.	
8	Sulit may be dismissed from this action and withdrawn as a potential class	
9	representative;	
10	NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate that the Court may enter an	
11	Order as follows:	
12	1. Christopher Sulit is hereby dismissed as a named Plaintiff from the	
13		
14		
15	and no declarations from Mr. Sulit will be offered by the plaintiffs in	
16	connection with class certification without further order of the Court. If	
17	the class is certified by the Court, this stipulation and order will not	
18	affect Mr. Sulit's status as an absent class member.	
19	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.	
20		
21	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS	
22		
23	DATE: September, 2009 By:/s/ Jeffrey K.Compton	
24	JEFFREY K. COMPTON WILLIAM A. BAIRD	
25	Markun Zusman & Compton LLP	
26	OTEANS SE OTED	
27	STEVE ELSTER  Law Office of Steve Elster	
28		

1	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
2	
3	DATE: September, 2009 By:/s/Linda E. Shostack
4	LINDA E. SHOSTACK JAMES E. BODDY, JR.
5	Morrison & Foerster LLP
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	
12	
13	DATED:
14	United States District Judge Susan Illston
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	